Post-crisis commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") issuance in Europe has been on the slow-burner with only a handful of transactions each year. The year 2018 saw European "CMBS 2.0" experience something of a revival with nine deals, totalling US$3.68 billion.¹ 2019 has seen four deals price in the first half of the year; however, this is still a small fraction (< 1/10th) of the €47.6 billion "CMBS 1.0" annual issuance seen in 2007.² European CMBS issuance continues to lag well behind that in the US and, in light of the ineligibility of the product for the "Simple, Transparent and Standardised" ("STS") label under the new European Securitisation Regulation, what is the future for European CMBS 2.0? As also explored in another Client Alert, "The Rise of the SDG CLO" published today,³ could European CMBS become a key tool in tackling climate change and advancing other United Nations sustainable development goals?

In this article, we first discuss some of the key structural trends in the European CMBS market before then considering how CMBS can become a product at the forefront of sustainable finance.

CMBS 2019 Market Snapshot

On 1 January 2019, Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 (the "Securitisation Regulation") came into force. Whilst the risk retention level under Article 6 of the Securitisation Regulation remained unchanged (i.e. 5%), the introduction of the "not sole purpose test"⁴ for originator retainers resulted in increased structuring considerations for CMBS which had previously utilised SPV retainers. The new rules now require that originator retainers demonstrate that they have a broader business purpose (beyond acting as retainer) and experienced decision makers in order to pass the "not sole purpose" test.
The first CMBS transactions of 2019 also saw CMBS market participants grapple with the new reporting and transparency requirements under Article 7 (the "EU Transparency Requirements"). This typically sees the SPV issuer designated as the reporting entity whilst the master servicer and the delegate servicer are contractually obliged to assist the issuer with the reporting required by the EU Transparency Requirements.

**To be a securitisation or not to be?**

Although we expect that most market participants, with the help of experienced servicers, will become comfortable with the EU Transparency Requirements, we may see more transactions structured so that they fall outside of the Securitisation Regulation regime altogether. The benefits of structuring a CMBS this way include not having to comply with the EU Transparency Requirements and, for the originator or sponsor of the transaction, not having to hold risk retention for the life of the transaction. One 2019 transaction structured this way is Westfield Stratford City Finance No.2 Plc ("Westfield"). The Westfield deal saw the issuer issue one tranche of £750 million commercial real estate loan-backed notes. Accordingly, as a result of the lack of tranching, the Westfield deal was, by the EU definition, not a securitisation for the purposes of Article 2(1) of the Securitisation Regulation.

**Dual-compliance**

Whilst we may see more CMBS transactions structured so as not to be "securitisations", Westfield remains the only public CMBS structured in this way in 2019. The rest were all structured as "securitisations" and designed to comply with the Securitisation Regulation. Three of those deals have also been structured to comply with both the EU risk retention rules and the U.S. risk retention rules (commonly known as "dual compliance"). We acted for the U.S. domiciled originator retainers on one of the first dual-compliant CMBS deals to price in the Securitisation Regulation era. Since the originator retainers were U.S. domiciled while the investors were European, the transaction needed to be structured to comply with both the EU rules (including the "not sole purpose test") and the U.S. rules. The EU and US rules differ in how multiple originators are treated. The EU rules have not changed this year and the Final Draft Regulatory Technical Standards on risk retention replicate the old rule by requiring that where the underlying assets have been created by multiple originators, the "retention requirement shall be fulfilled by each originator on a pro rata basis, with reference to the securitised exposures for which it is the originator". The US rules on the other hand require one entity to be designated as the "sponsor" of the transaction and for such entity to take full responsibility for holding the risk retention (such entity, the "Retaining Sponsor"). Notwithstanding this, the US rules do permit the Retaining Sponsor to transfer a portion of the retention notes to an "originator" (as defined under the US risk retention rules); however, the Retaining Sponsor remains fully responsible for US risk retention compliance from a regulatory perspective and it must subscribe for 100 per cent. of the retention notes from the issuer prior to onward transfer.
SDG CMBS

As discussed in our Client Alert, *The Rise of the SDG CLO*, the United Nations General Assembly in 2015 identified a set of 17 sustainable development goals to be achieved by 2030 (the “SDGs”). The UN Commission on Trade and Development estimates that achieving the SDGs will require between US$3 trillion and US$5 trillion in annual investment in developing countries alone. Fortunately, US$ 30 trillion of assets under management are currently earmarked for SDG investment and CMBS 2.0 can tap into this demand with appropriately structured deals.

Examples of mapping types of commercial real estate to specific SDGs:

- **SDG #3 (Good Health and Well-Being):** medical facilities, research facilities, care homes, buildings with green spaces.
- **SDG #4 (Quality Education):** schools and universities, tertiary campuses, technical and vocational learning centres, student housing.
- **SDG #6 (Clean Water and Sanitation):** water treatment plants, sewer and septic systems.
- **SDG #7 (Affordable and Clean Energy):** energy efficient new or refurbished buildings, energy storage, electric car charge points, solar-panelled buildings, smart grids, intelligent heating systems.
- **SDG #9 and #11 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) and (Sustainable Cities and Communities):** construction of energy efficient buildings or refurbishment of buildings to improve energy efficiency, affordable housing, cleaner public transport infrastructure, bicycle infrastructure.
- **SDG #12 (Responsible Consumption and Production):** recycling plants, composting facilities.

SDG CMBS could address additional SDGs based on overlap amongst the goals.

**Market Developments**

In 2016, ING announced that it would only offer new financing for office buildings that met their green requirements by 2017. "Brown" buildings would only be granted funding if they had an acceptable sustainability plan in place. In the US, Fannie Mae, now the world’s largest issuer of green bonds, launched its green initiative aimed at multifamily buildings in 2010, and first issued a multifamily green MBS bond in 2012. The product aims to incentivise owners to improve the sustainability of their buildings at the same time as increasing the affordability of housing for families with low to moderate incomes. Freddie Mac launched a similar programme in 2016, aimed at housing for those who work in the community, such as teachers or firefighters, and issued their first green CMBS in June of this year. More recently in 2019, we have seen the LMA / LSTA publish the sustainability-linked loan principles.

**Conclusion**
European CMBS has exhibited some growth in the past two years but it remains weak when compared to pre-crisis "CMBS 1.0" issuance and the far deeper CMBS market in the United States. Investor demand for sustainable investment continues to grow and the CMBS market could be at the forefront in tapping into this demand for sustainable investment. White & Case, as Knowledge Partner to the G20 Sustainable Finance Study Group, is well-placed to advise CMBS market participants on developing the SDG CMBS product.
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